

Edda Maria Capodaglio¹, Angela Cavalagli², Monica Panigazzi³

Exergame for the functional rehabilitation of adults over 55 with neurological diseases

¹ Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri IRCCS, Occupational therapy and ergonomics unit of Pavia Institute, Italy

² Department of Clinical-Surgical, Diagnostic and Pediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Italy

³ Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri IRCCS, Occupational therapy and ergonomics unit of Pavia and Montescano Institutes, Italy

ABSTRACT. *Rehabilitation based on Exergame is showing a rapid evolution, with interesting applications for the recovery of mobility, balance, postural control, coordination and fine motor skills, and including home-based training. At present, there are no precise indications for Exergame-based rehabilitation of people over 55 affected by stroke, Parkinson's disease, or multiple sclerosis. This review examines the proposed modalities and the effectiveness of Exergame-based rehabilitation interventions for adults over 55 with stroke, Parkinson's disease or multiple sclerosis, highlighting the limitations, advantages, controversies and impact of this approach. We examined randomized controlled trials published between 2016-2020, with search in the databases of PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane Library, RehabData, selecting 24 studies. The study of patients with chronic or subacute stroke in outpatient treatment, and with small sample sizes, prevails. Wide variability characterizes the rehabilitation methods, the technological platforms used, the type and dose of exercise administered, the outcome measures. The adequacy and efficacy of Exergames remains uncertain in the neurological elderly, and the functional improvement in the neurological adult patient is not yet attested using this type of approach.*

Key words: *Exergame, functional rehabilitation, virtual reality, neurological diseases, outcome measures.*

RIASSUNTO. **EXERGAME PER LA RIABILITAZIONE FUNZIONALE DI ADULTI OVER 55 CON MALATTIE NEUROLOGICHE.** La riabilitazione basata su Exergame sta mostrando una rapida evoluzione, con interessanti applicazioni per il recupero della mobilità, dell'equilibrio, del controllo posturale, della coordinazione e della motricità fine, comprendendo il contesto dell'allenamento domiciliare. Al momento, non esistono indicazioni precise per la riabilitazione basata su Exergame di soggetti over 55 colpiti da ictus, malattia di Parkinson, o sclerosi multipla. Questa revisione esamina le modalità proposte e l'efficacia degli interventi riabilitativi basati su Exergame per adulti over 55 con ictus, morbo di Parkinson o sclerosi multipla, evidenziando i limiti, i vantaggi, le controversie e l'impatto di questo approccio. Sono stati esaminati studi randomizzati controllati pubblicati tra il 2016-2020, con ricerca nei database di PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane Library, RehabData, selezionando 24 studi. Prevalso lo studio su pazienti con ictus cronico o subacuto in trattamento ambulatoriale, e con dimensioni piccole dei campioni. Ampia variabilità caratterizza le modalità riabilitative, le piattaforme tecnologiche utilizzate, il tipo e la dose di esercizio somministrato, le misure di esito.

Introduction

The use of technology, including exergaming (EG), is rapidly increasing in functional rehabilitation, also of the older adult. Recent studies have highlighted positive aspects regarding the rehabilitation use of this type of technology, diversified and potentially very broad.

EG are video games that combine real-time motion detection and feedback about performance, to promote physical condition and practice of simulated functional tasks. The participant's movements, detected by special sensors or through an external controller, animate an avatar on the screen/monitor representing the player involved in different type of games. Several options of changing the virtual environment and objects, task difficulty level, and adapt the specific task to the user requirements are provided. Minimum risk and ludic aspects of gaming may augment motivation toward motion and fulfilment of objectives. This technology can deliver multisensory, task-oriented exercises that are promising in the rehabilitation process of neurologic disorders. The multisensory feedback boosts motor learning and facilitates cortical reorganisation, thereby facilitating the recovery of functions and activities.

EGs have emerged as therapeutic approaches in clinical settings, providing improvements in the functions of the upper limbs, in daily activities (1,2) and in gait (3) in post-stroke patients and in motor symptoms (4) and balance (5) in patients with Parkinson's disease (PD). EG treatment also allows for long-term exercise planning, which is useful for reducing the impact of multiple sclerosis (MS) related disorders in adults. Commercial interactive gaming system such as Wii (Nintendo, 2006) and Kinect (Microsoft, 2010), designed for an entertaining purpose of a 'typical' healthy user, found to be effective when used in rehabilitation programs to assist in patients' recovery of motor function (6).

The Wii, with variety of games enabled through its peripheral devices (Wii Remote and Wii Balance Board), has been used with the Wii Fit for physical activity conditioning (7) and balance rehabilitation (8) in people with MS (9), stroke (1,10-12) and subacute stroke (13).

The Kinect system, inclusive of hands-free interface device (Kinect sensor) compatible with the Xbox 360 and

L'adeguatezza e l'efficacia degli Exergames rimane incerta negli anziani neurologici, ed il miglioramento funzionale nel paziente neurologico adulto non è ancora attestato utilizzando questo tipo di approccio.

Parole chiave: Exergame, riabilitazione funzionale, realtà virtuale, malattie neurologiche, misure di esito.

Xbox One console, and leveraging the creation or adaptation of new games by open source software, proved reliable for assessment of postural control and training of muscle tone and power in post-stroke patients (14).

EG rehabilitation leads to improvement of gross body (15) and upper body mobility (16), with benefits transferred also to daily activity (17-20), and rehabilitation learning sustained up to 3 (15) and 6 months (21). Effects are particularly evident for EG training applied in addition to conventional therapy, while limited generalizability of results prevents to reach conclusions on mobility outcomes when EG administered alone (19).

Few EG systems have been developed specifically for rehabilitation purposes. Among these, Serious Games are systems involving cognitive and motor tasks, developed to support rehabilitation. Robotic systems, ranging from simpler end effector to more complex exoskeleton, are particularly promising in sensorimotor rehabilitation.

In the practice of occupational rehabilitation, most therapeutic interventions aim at the recovery of function by the adult suffering from diseases such as stroke, PD or MS. The use of rehabilitation technologies based on EG can represent an advantage for the use of resources and for the different possibilities of administering the exercise. Although technological rehabilitation can be generally considered a safe treatment, there is no complete overview of the functional rehabilitation approach with EG for the older adult. Many issues, such as best dose of therapy, timing, and types of programs, individual adaptation of treatment, long-term sustainability of effects, remote administration, safety related concerns, and others, are yet to define clearly.

In this review, we consider the adequacy and efficacy aspects of Exergame treatments for the functional rehabilitation of the adult with stroke, PD, or MS.

Methods

A literature search was undertaken between August and October 2020 in the international online bibliographic databases PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane Library, RehabData, using the keywords: (*exergame OR active video game*) AND (*elderly OR older adults*) AND (*rehabilitation OR occupational therapy*). The identification, screening, eligibility and inclusion of studies were performed following the 2009 Prisma flowchart. Two reviewers (a physician and a research assistant) conducted the search independently. Articles that met the following criteria were included in the review: published since 2016, randomized controlled trial, focusing on the use of exergames or active video games/virtual reality rehabilitation of older adults (mean

age ≥ 55 years old) affected by neurological disease (stroke, Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis), written in English and with full text available online.

Articles were excluded if they were review, if focused at improving cognitive tasks, considered other pathologies, healthy or frail subjects, or did not describe any rehabilitative intervention.

The title and/or abstracts of the studies were scanned for the study objective, study population, exergame platform, training procedure, measurement. Borderline cases (such as studies with participants' age not fully corresponding to that supposed), were evaluated according to their contribution to knowledge.

A scoring sheet was developed on a Microsoft Excel for the full-text review. Data were sorted in categories, including participants (population, main selection criteria, number of subjects, and participants age), study (methodology, location, and focus), game technologies and exercises (gaming system, games, exercise, playtime, frequency and duration), and measure of evaluation (outcomes and results).

The methodological quality of the included studies was evaluated by a classification system, the Jadad Scale (Oxford quality scoring system) (22) commonly used by the health care community, according to randomization, blinding, and drop out. In this scoping review the methodological quality of the studies did not determine their inclusion or exclusion.

Results

A total of respectively 83 and 50 articles were found in the databases by the two reviewers (searching phase, Aug-Oct 2020), reduced to 30 potential eligible studies after exclusion based on title and abstract (screening phase, November 2020). Disagreements over inclusion was resolved by consensus after referring to criteria and relevant theoretical and empirical issues. Eventually twenty-four studies were eligible by both reviewers, and included in the review (Table I).

All studies, except one with "virtual reality" in the title (24), included keywords such as *exergame*, *videogame*, *virtual reality*, *virtual rehabilitation*, *rehabilitation games*, *Nintendo Wii*, *Kinect*, *biofeedback*, *proprioception*, *balance training-rehabilitation*.

Although our main focus was on EG, we included 18 articles (75%) with "Virtual Reality" in the text and / or in the title. In this review indeed, we use the term virtual reality (VR) referring to EG features.

The studies were published in 2016 (three), 2017 (seven), 2018 (six), 2019 (seven), and 2020 (one), from America (eight), Europe (six), East (seven) and Middle East (three). All studies were RTC, randomized controlled trial, (with five pilot study). The methodological quality of RCTs assessed by the Jadad scale was high in 70% of cases. Majority of studies (fifteen) focused on chronic or subacute stroke (including haemiplegia), eight focused on Parkinson's disease (PD), and one study on multiple sclerosis (MS).

Table 1. Summary of the studies: details of experimental (ExpG) and control (CtrlG) groups, type of intervention and duration, primary and secondary outcome measures, key results, unattained goals, and hints for future investigations (see common legend)

Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcome measures Primary / Secondary	Key results ExpG	Unattained goals / Drawbacks Hints for future investigations
Chen et al. (2020B) Brazil	• Total n. = 31. • Age (ExpG) (yr) = 16.18 (SD 0.09) • Gender (ExpG) = 16M, 5F • Pathology = Stroke	Individual, supervised, outpatient • ExpG = Physical training (as follows) at 4 (B1) exercise intensity 8 activities above rest must meet heart rate, blood pressure, heart rate and heart rate during training, with different difficulty level (30 min PT, 3/week x 12 wk). • CtrlG = Similar protocol of experimental rehabilitation (30 min PT, 3/week x 12 wk).	• Balance = BBS. • Upper limb motor function = FMA-UE.	• BBS and FMA improved significantly in both groups after rehabilitation. • ExpG showed further (and significant) balance results than CtrlG, with the same improvement time. • Significant differences between the two groups, in strength, knee gait, improvement in post-rehabilitation status (balance, elbow, hip, and total variables), when treatment was.	• No improvement in the rehabilitation of the hemiparetic hand. • Reduced lower limb motor function. • Functional patient's engagement. • Include other pathologies. • Intervention group combining the 2 domains. • Conducted for multicenter study.
Shah et al. (2020C) Canada	• Total n. = 18. • Age (ExpG) (yr) = 42.3 (SD 9.32) • Gender (ExpG) = 5M, 4 F • Pathology = Stroke	Individual, supervised, outpatient • ExpG = Volitional and bilateral UE training in all planes to perform fine UE activities against gravity 2 (1 min PT, 2 or 3/week x 4 wk) + AHA rehabilitation (occupational and physical therapy). • CtrlG = Traditional therapy (occupational and physical therapy) 3 or 3/week x 4 wk.	• Upper limb motor function = FMA-UE, BBT. • Self-reported health status = SRS. • Self-reported measure of UE use = MAU (FMA, ADL, MAC-OCMA). • Feasibility and safety: adverse events and adverse events.	• FMA, OCMA: significant differences between groups in 11 (joint hyperextension) compared to the CtrlG, but not in 12 (the table-tilt). • Significant MAU, OCMA scores from baseline in ExpG. • Significant difference in mobility domain of the SRS and SPS-Physical between the two groups. • SPS: Total significant (changes in ExpG been baseline to post-treatment and were all present at T2).	• No recorded hyperextension. • Need more patients. • Include patients with different clinical characteristics. • Evaluate primary perception and self-report. • Understand the relationship between patient characteristics and how goals are measured (per time).
Wong et al. (2020E) Thailand	• Total n. = 35. • Age (ExpG) (yr) = 54.58 (SD 9.84) 20. • Gender (ExpG) = 12M, 5F. • Pathology = Stroke	Individual, supervised, outpatient • ExpG = Shoulder and elbow movement training to perform 8 games both horizontal and vertical (30 min PT, 2 or 3/week x 12 wk) + AHA training (10 min and conventional hand function training (30 min)). • CtrlG = Therapist hand training with variety of Motor of conventional UE movement training (30 min PT, 2 or 3/week x 12 wk).	• Clinical limb motor function = FMA-UE, BBT, TBM, Wrist FAS, MAU, ADL, MAU, DGM. • Participation = pRFS, accelerometer.	• No between-group differences for any scores, either post-intervention or at the last follow-up point. • The ExpG showed significant improvements in FMA-UE, FMA, ADL, X, and FMA, DGM, in WMT-TIME and MAU (ADL and DGM). • The CtrlG only showed significant improvements in FMA-UE and FMA, ADL and WMT-TIME. • All the follow-up point groups showed significant improvement in FMA-UE (0-100), FMA, ADL (0-100), and WMT-TIME, and DGM (0-100).	• Functional gain in MAU not maintained at the 3-month follow-up. • Analyze the low retention effects in the participating domain for ExpG.
Perrone et al. (2020B) Brazil	• Total n. = 32. • Age (ExpG) (yr) = 67.7 (SD 2). • Gender (ExpG) = 15M, 10F • Pathology = PE.	Individual, supervised, outpatient • ExpG = Strength and endurance submaximal, cardiovascular, balance, and functional training, and executive function exercise in 10 min, 3 or 3 mini-games repeated in different levels of intensity, each level about 3 min. (30 min PT, 3/week x 8 wk). • CtrlG = Functional training or aerobic training on a stationary bicycle with progressively increasing of maximum heart rate. (30 min PT, 3/week x 8 wk).	• Walking stability = BBSMT. • Staff speed = TBMPT. • Constant limb strength and power = SPRT. • Body fat composition = BIA and abdominal circumferences. • Perception of functional stability = WHOQOL-2.0. • Quality of life = PQOL-39 and EuroQol-5D. • Perception of functional stability = PQOL-39.	• ExpG groups showed significant improvements in BBSMT, TBMPT, and WHOQOL-2.0. • Only ExpG demonstrated significant improvements in gait, overall performance in SPRT. • Functional training and ExpG improved the perception of quality of life and the groups decreased body fat index significantly. • Only WHOQOL-2.0 and ExpG obtained a significant difference in reducing abdominal circumference. • No significant differences were found among the 3 groups in any outcomes.	• Non-significant results between groups in BBSMT. • Perceived outcome and BBT measures. • Need a control of cardiac performance during all treatment interventions. • Need to study the effects of different modalities of recovery program. • Need more patients.
Chen et al. (2020B) Malaysia	• Total n. = 20. • Age (ExpG) (yr) = 67.6 (SD 7.6). • Gender (ExpG) = 14M, 5F. • Pathology = PE.	Individual, supervised, outpatient • ExpG = 30 visualization hand movement training with a post and play task in the virtual world requiring manipulation of virtual cubes (30 min PT, 10 minutes within 3 wk). • CtrlG = 20 visualization (on LCD) hand movement training with a post and play task in the virtual world requiring manipulation of virtual cubes (30 min PT, 10 minutes within 3 wk).	• Kinematic hand temporal of upper extremity = TBMPT, TBM, BBT, SPRT, TBM, BBT, SPRT, TBM, BBT, SPRT, TBM, BBT, SPRT. • Balance = BBS. • Upper limb motor function = FMA-UE, BBT.	• Substantial differences between the two and the last between the last group. The differences were statistically significant for FMA-UE, BBS, and TBM. Significant differences between the last and the last between the last "perceived competence" measure scale (BBS). The ExpG increased in effort, balance and the CtrlG improved in perceived balance in the last session.	• BBT and SPRT not statistically significant between the groups before and after. • No intensive 30 equipment (gym) not for appropriate for every group with PE. • Need more patients and need to increase performance.
Wah et al. (2020C) Taiwan	• Total n. = 20. • Age (ExpG) (yr) = 67.8 (SD 9.94). • Gender (ExpG) = 14M, 1F. • Pathology = PE.	Individual, supervised, outpatient • ExpG = Balance-based retraining intervention including reaching activities, weight-shifting activities and marching activities, with progressively increasing level of difficulty (30 min PT, 3/week x 8 wk). • CtrlG = Conventional balance training including reaching activities, weight-shifting activities and marching activities, with progressively increasing level of difficulty (30 min PT, 3/week x 8 wk).	• Posture of stability = LOS, OLS (CoPT). • Functional balance = BBS, TUG.	• Functional balance (BBS and TUG) improved significantly in both groups post-training compared with pre-training. • ExpG significantly improved LOS performance and OLS on the last affected leg in the pre-clinical condition. • ExpG (compared with CtrlG) exhibited better performance in directional control of LOS prior training.	• Conventional balance training did not reach the minimal clinically change in patients with PE. • Results not generalizable. • Need more patients. • Reduce possible prior in data communication due to variability variability during longer perspective. • Review patient selection (include others who have a more marked level of deficit, or a non-high baseline level of performance).

(segue)

Mean size of the experimental group (ExpGr) was overall sixteen subjects, with 4% females. As for age, in two studies (7,27), the age range in ExpGr was very broad (27-78 years), while it was just below the age limit of 55 in another study (28). We kept these last studies in the review due to important contribution to knowledge.

In the studies considered, the criteria for inclusion of patients in the study samples were based on disease type, severity and duration, motor or cognitive potential, and sometimes age. Exclusion was determined by the presence of cognitive / sensory deficits, aphasia, low vision, motor problems or syndromes incompatible with training. In some cases, the patient's need of use of assistive devices or previous experience with EG determined retrospective exclusion as a participant.

EG and VR

In fifteen articles the concepts of VR and EG were used interchangeably, since VR was meant as stylistic component of EG (i.e. exercise by gaming through virtual environments, virtual objects or players). Instead in three articles (9,11,30), the authors specifically differentiated between EG (commercial games, more convenient and easy to use), and VR (specific for rehabilitation). In six articles (25%) the term "virtual reality" does not appear.

Type of treatment and duration

The training was provided on an outpatient (50%) or inpatient (16%) basis, or at home (33%) (Table I). EG-VR training was delivered as a stand alone treatment (71%), or it was in addition to traditional treatment (29%). The experimental treatment lasted on average 630 minutes over 6 weeks, with a follow-up (in 10 studies only) at 2.7 months after treatment. Primary outcomes included balance and gait (54%), upper limb functionality (41%), and physical activity (4%).

Type of platforms and sensor devices

The technological platform observed in the included studies were:

- (29%): Nintendo Wii Fit with balance board (23,29-31), Nintendo with Wiimote (32) and Nintendo Wii Sports with a paddle integrated sensor (3);
- (41%): Kinect (28,34-36), Xbox Kinect (6,7,26,37), and Kinect2Scratch (11);
- (30%): Other platforms: Stepmania used with a special mat (8), and Script with special dynamic orthosis for UE (39).

Rehabilitation specific designed platforms were:

- Unity Game Development software (40), Rehab@home (9), and Riablo (24);
- myoelectric computer interface Phyton used with a cursor (27);
- MotionRehab AVE (41);
- 3D Oculus with head mounted device (42);
- a finger robotic exoskeleton in the form of a special glove (25).

As a theoretical reference, Hebbian's theory of plasticity (25) and Gentile's theory of motor learning (37) were mentioned in two studies, while neurobiological

theory was implicitly assumed in a study related to balance training (24).

Safety and feasibility of exergaming

In some studies, patient's safety aspects were considered by verifying a posteriori the absence of adverse events occurring during training with EG (28,30), or by recording the number of accidental falls during the same period (23) or during the following six months (38) (Table II).

In some cases, the patients' subjective perceptions of pain, fatigue or discomfort arising during exercise were considered as a safety criterion to immediately end the training session (32).

Some studies observed specific risks associated with carrying out the exercises: risk of repetitive injury, with patients complaining of upper extremity soreness after a 30-minute Kinect2Scratch games intervention (11), and shoulder pain due to the use of hemiparetic side (33).

Increased pressure/tension score and corresponding higher level of average tremor was observed in PD patients engaged in 3D vs. 2D VR manipulation tasks (42).

One study adopted preventive measures (a harness safety belt) to minimize risk for stroke patients performing standing activities (6).

Home based training has been shown to be feasible (9,26,38-40) even in innovative ways (e.g. Myoelectric computer interface training) (27), though safety in the home setting could be a problem for patients with cognitive decline (23). Direct supervision by a physical or occupational therapist was almost always required to set and regulate the type and progression of exercises, to assist or encourage the patient, and ultimately to administer assessment tests. Otherwise, there were regular home visits by the researcher (25,36,38,39) or contact via skype during the sessions (23).

Patient perceptions

Patient motivation and compliance were generally high (6,7,24,25,26,30,36,38), with an explicit greater appreciation of technological training than traditional rehabilitation (36,40). Satisfaction and motivation were assessed by questionnaires at follow-up or at each training session, often using the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (25,36,39,42), or including quality of life assessment (23,26,31,32,34,37,39,40). In case of non-evaluation of users' perceptions, this has been addressed as a specific limit of the study (9,28). Patients reported that adherence to the program was strengthened by a wide variety of exercises available and regular contact with the referral therapist (39,40).

Problems detected with patients using technological equipment

The possibility of a Kinect sensor error in distinguishing the assistant from the participant during some phases of the assisted movement has been reported (6). Space problems with equipment have been reported in relation to the home setting (40). The participants' lack of experience with technology did not reduce participation in training (36). Eye fatigue could arise in PD patients who

Tabella II. (segue)

Ergo pattern	Brief description (Name of game)	Use of external device	Significant effects (Intra/inter group) analyzed and identified					Participants' experience	Adverse Events (AE)	Reference study
			Upper limb	Lower limb	Balance	Physical Activity	Cognition/Executive function/AOL mobility	Effort	Quality	
Nintendo Wii	Target and its games	Balance Board	-	-	4 intra group, not in IU	-	-	-	-	Adin et al. (2017) Brazil
SCUFF system	Gaming reactions controlled by pen and hand independently	SCUFF system wrist and hand sensors, the sensors, the SCUFFM and a computer with touch screen	4 intra group	-	-	-	-	-	-	Alpertus et al. (2017) Netherlands
Unity game development software (specifically developed)	Two coordination (G) (Maya/Avatar game and Chorus game) under six different conditions	Table-top mat, treadmill and rubber	4 intra group only in one outcome (Shooting speed)	-	-	-	4 intra group	-	-	Adin et al. (2017) Australia
Nintendo Wii	Five games selected from the Wii Sports and Wii Fit packages for upper limb, lower limb and balance training (lightning rounds, 100 yards and heading) respectively	Balance board, Wii remote control and "Sportbox" equipment	-	-	-	-	4 intra group	-	-	Senay et al. (2016) Turkey
Microsoft Kinect Move	Five games selected from the Kinect Sports, Kinect Adventures, and Your Shape Fitness Evolved packages	Teknon, Kinect placed in front of the participant 2 required for safety	-	-	4 intra group	-	4 No	-	-	Lee et al. (2013) Taiwan
Nintendo Wii	Wii Fit	Balance Board, Wii Fit (5000 Balance Board)	-	-	4 intra and inter group	-	-	-	-	Ukran Kayan et al. (2018) Turkey

wear glasses while using immersive 3D equipment (42), and frustration could occur in older adults with cognitive problems (37).

Balance training

Balance training was based on WiiFit games (23,30,31) or dual-task training technique (29), or a combination of upper limb exercises (32) and postural and locomotor skills (34,35), or focusing on physical conditioning and muscle-strengthening (26,33). The Kinect (33), the Riablo (24) and Stepmania (38) were also used.

The patient mostly maintained an upright position, or sometimes alternating sitting-upright (26), or always sitting (33).

Upper limbs training

Upper limb training was based on gross limb movements (11,27,28,33,40,41) or finger and hand movements (25,33,39,42), including trunk movements (41). The patient maintained the sitting or alternated the sitting / standing position. Biofeedback technique (27) and robotic therapy (39) have also been used. Beside Wii remote (32), particular devices such as gripping assist gloves (33), special force-sensing gloves (25), hand-wrist splint (27), hand orthosis (39), or head-mounted device (42) were sometimes used with particular systems.

Particular techniques

Multi-user therapy (36) and robotic (25) were used for upper limb training, while Dual Task was used for balance training (29). Biofeedback with myoelectric interface was used to reduce spasticity in upper limb muscles (27), and biofeedback associated with IMU sensors and static force platform was used to improve postural and balance skills (24).

Feedback and difficulty level

Almost all EGs included visual-auditory feedback provided to the patient in real time, as a form of encouragement, for correction, or as scoring information. The therapist established the initial difficulty level of exercise, based on the patient's skills and motivation, and adapted it gradually, even at a distance, based on the attained score (39).

In some cases the patient himself decided independently the intensity of the exercise, on the basis of subjective perception (26,41). Rest intervals between activities were sometimes indicated in the protocols (27,32). In one study, patients also had the opportunity to play the video clip of the training sessions, to understand errors and correct performance (32). Wide variability characterized the number of sessions, from a minimum of 6 (27) to over 30 (6,32,38,39,40), as well as the session duration, from a minimum of 15 (29,38,40) to 60-90 minutes (27), with a maximum cumulative training duration of 25-30 hours (43).

Outcome measures

Each study used heterogeneous sets of outcome measures to evaluate rehabilitation. Overall, 54 types of measurement tools appear in the analyzed studies (Table III).

The most used were the Berg Balance Scale, together with instrumental measurements of balance and gait, the Timed Up & Go, and other tests for the upper limbs function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment, Box & Block Test, and Motor Activity Log). Most of outcome measures are generic and performance-based (except Fugl-Meyer, which is stroke-specific, and Motor Activity Log which is self-reporting).

Additional tests, questionnaires, clinician-rated instruments or patient-reported results appear with low frequencies of use (we counted 27 different tools used only once by a single study) to assess general health, quality of life, emotions, fatigue, pain, falls, spasticity, manual and fine dexterity, disability and independence, cognitive aspects, strength, and transfer ability. Pathology-specific measures were addressed to stroke (14 tools), to PD (6 tools) and MS (2 tools). 44% of the outcome measures included indications about MCD (Minimal Detectable Change) or MCID (Minimal Clinically Important Difference) as statistical properties. 66% of the studies provided outcome results that were comparable to the available MCD and MCID reference values.

Instrumental quantification of outcomes

Some studies have used additional instrumental methods to quantify the results: "leap motion controller" for hand movements and tremor (42), kinematic analysis for range of motion during reaching tasks (27), automatic calculation of the finger motions (25), electronic platforms for static and dynamic balance (24,30), the Wii Balance Board integrated system (33), accelerometer and force dynamometer (7).

Other physiological (heart rate), psychophysical (Borg scale) and subjective measures (VAS), or neuropsychological measures were used through the studies.

Effectiveness of EG-VR training

Despite significant improvements in balance achieved through EG-VR, those were not sufficient for patients to achieve independence in activities of daily living (6,37), either this result occurred only in a small sample (30). In other cases, the improvements in balance did not attained the threshold of MDC (35) or MCID (23). Upper limb function (27), fine hand movements (9), and perception of general health (26) improved significantly after training. A greater volume of upper limb activity was achieved compared to traditional therapy (36), however this was not associated with evident functional relapse (39). The benefits obtained from EG-VR training were not maintained at follow-up (6,7,11,27,28), or were maintained but at a short follow-up (30,37). In some cases, patients reported subjectively perceived improvements in ADL performance (36,38), which however did not rule out general aerobic training as a concomitant cause (26).

Discussion

As already highlighted in the literature (19), the studies on the use of EG in rehabilitation don't provide

Tabella III. (segue)

Outcome measure /population /region /specie	Target	Neurological population to which it refers	Type of measure	Comments	Inclusion in case set	MACD	MACD	References to reviewed papers
[1964] [1965] [1967] 1975	Self-care, independence	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures the ability of an individual to care for themselves	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
			Clinical report outcome	Body Function Activity: Neurological assessment of cognitive and motor functions.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
			Clinical report outcome	Body Function Activity: Assessment of patient's participation in communication.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
[1968] 1974	ADLs	Neurological conditions	Performance based	Participation: Measures functional and societal health.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Assesses the ability to maintain or change a given lying, sitting or standing as well as move between these postures.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Body Function Activity: Testing proprioception of limbs in able adults.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1970] 1974	Balance, Transfer	Stroke	Performance based	Body Function Activity: Testing proprioception of limbs in community dwelling stroke adults.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Body Function Activity: Testing proprioception of limbs in community dwelling stroke adults.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Self-report			Body Function Activity:	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1972] 1974	Communication, social functioning	Neurological conditions	Self-report	Activity: Measures communication that promotes independence in performing daily activities without falling.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Self-report			Activity: Participation: Measures engagement in physical activity.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Self-report			Body Function: Measures the severity of fatigue and its effect on ADL and function.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1974] 1974	Balance	Neurological conditions	Performance based	Activity: Assessment of hand function based on the patient's engagement in functional activities.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Participation: Measures perceived health (incorporating the social and personal effects of stress).	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1976] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1978] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1980] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1982] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1984] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1986] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1988] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1990] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1992] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1994] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1996] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[1998] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2000] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2002] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2004] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2006] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2008] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2010] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2012] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2014] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2016] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2018] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2020] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2022] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2024] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2026] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2028] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2030] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2032] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2034] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2036] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2038] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2040] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2042] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2044] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2046] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2048] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2050] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2052] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2054] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2056] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2058] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2060] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2062] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2064] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2066] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2068] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2070] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2072] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
[2074] 1974	Balance	Stroke	Performance based	Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓	✓	✓	[Joshi et al. 2014b]	
Performance based			Activity: Measures speed and accuracy.	✓				

Legend (alphabetical order) for Tables 1, 8, and 10:

[illegible]

generalizable data, due to the smallness of the samples, the variability of the training methods and dosage, as well as the poor control of the results at follow-up. In our opinion, a certain fragility of the conclusions also derives from the heterogeneity of the outcome measures used among the studies. In many respects, EG based rehabilitation meets the indications of the rehabilitation guidelines (43): high repetition and task-oriented training; combined exercises; fall prevention; hand dexterity and fine motor skills; home rehabilitation; prevention of secondary disabilities. The studies we have analyzed, randomized controlled trials published in the last 5 years on the use of EG in the rehabilitation of the older adult, suggest interesting developments especially in relation to the training of mobility, balance and postural control, as well as of coordination and hand dexterity. All these motor skills affect independence and quality of life of neurological patients. The EG based rehabilitation allows for large volumes of exercise by customizing tasks training and stimulating patient motivation. In particular, remote monitoring of physical activity through EG based rehabilitation represents a significant opportunity for the management of patients at home and in continuity of care.

Although our main focus was on the use of EGs, regardless if associated with immersive or non-immersive VR, we found that 18 of the 24 included articles (75%) used “virtual reality” in association with “exergame”, and without a clear distinction between the two terms. Only in three articles, EG (easy-to-use and cost-effective commercial games) and VR (specific tools for rehabilitation) were treated as distinct techniques, but without reporting an obvious advantage of one over the other.

Training based both on EG and VR leads to savings in terms of staff employed and convenience. Excellent adherence to therapy and a greater total amount of exercise accumulated by patients are a strength, which can also contribute to improvements in quality of life, regardless of the type of exercise (26).

In the future, a more functional and task oriented EG design could increase the efficiency of motor learning in patients (41) and encourage the acquisition of more efficient automatic behaviours (44). Mitigation of some critical issues relating to home-based training may occur in the future also thanks to the refinement of innovative techniques, such as robotics and exoskeletons. However, directly available care and supervision of patients with high disease severity remains a key aspect of home rehabilitation. Training at home using platforms that involve multiple users in the game could be a valid modality for the remote rehabilitation of patients with mild illness; however the effects of this methodology have not been explored in the literature so far (only the study by Thielbar et al. employs part of the experimental group in multi-user mode). The high involvement of patients in VR and EG could induce some disadvantages, typically due to the perception of time pressure, and consequently low precision in exercise execution, compensatory movements or tremors, as well as greater postural instability and even risk of falling. A key point still to be clarified are the recommendations on the type and dosage of training based on the severity of

the disease and the patient’s cognitive abilities. The duration and intensity of rehabilitation, generally short in the studies analyzed, could be decisive for a greater relevance of the outcomes.

Since neurological patients have a high potential for motor improvement even after discharge from the hospital, training based on VR and EG could represent a valid alternative to traditional rehabilitation in the perspective of continuity of patient care. The studies analyzed, although within the limits highlighted, show that VR and EG can be included in a training program for patients who have suffered from stroke, PD or MS. The rehabilitative effects obtained with EG-VR are generally comparable to those obtained through traditional rehabilitation and appear to benefit mainly patients with mild to moderate disease severity.

Several questions emerge from the analyzed studies, which will have to be answered in the future:

- find out which factors influence the patient’s acceptability, motivation and adherence to therapy (28,37,39,40,41,42);
- verify to what extent the patient’s physical characteristics such as muscle strength, lean mass (23) or obesity (32) affect motor recovery, and how the benefits obtained can be maintained over time (26,28,38);
- which variables to monitor during exercise, to more precisely control intensity and tolerability (34);
- better define the conditions for tele-rehabilitation (9,11,28,39) also considering new modalities (eg wearable exoskeletons) (27);
- study of the rehabilitation of the lower limb (41);
- verify the effects associated with different exercise programs on important outcome measures such as fitness and mobility, quality of life, daily activities, cost-benefit ratio, fall risk and muscle strength (34), and verify functional relapses (40);
- performing studies on much larger sample sizes, including other pathologies, to confirm the clinical efficacy of the approaches (24,39,42) and to investigate the superiority of EG and VR over conventional programs (30,32);
- explore the interaction between cognitive aspects and motor therapy interventions (40).

In rehabilitation, appropriate selection of outcome measures is essential to obtain informative data on the efficacy of treatments and to assess the change over time of motor and functional aspects, as recommended by clinical practice guidelines (45) and by the StrokEDGE consent group (46). A fundamental set of recommended outcome measures for the neuro-rehabilitation of the older patient is still missing. Recent stroke guidelines (47,48) recommend the use of primary and secondary outcome measures, which include measures of impairment, activity limitation, and quality of life, and with established psychometric properties. Self-assessment measures should complement objective measures to assess functional gain and motivational aspects.

Many of the scales used in the studies analysed (Berg Balance Scale, Fugl-Meyer, Balance Confidence Scale, Functional Gait Assessment, 10-meter Walk Test and 6-

minute Walk Test) are recommended as primary outcome measures for neurological conditions in adults. Other, such as the Wolf Motor Function Test, the Action Research Arm Test, the ten-meter and six-minute Walk Tests, and the Stroke Impact Scale, are recommended as secondary outcomes. Subjective patient experience has often been evaluated in studies through the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (49).

Our review was thorough in examining the RCTs found in databases and offering an integrated view on the technical, individual and rehabilitative aspects of the problem. It is possible however, that some interesting works including “grey literature” have not been addressed.

Regarding the limitations of our study, it was not possible to compare the long-term benefit obtained from a specific platforms used in patients with the same pathology, or with the same characteristics in terms of age, sex and severity of motor disorders. Limited duration of the trial in the studies and variety of platforms, training methodologies and outcome measures helped to blur homogeneous conclusions. Furthermore, in the samples studied, already numerically scarce, the female gender was very little represented (4%), which could also generate an imprecise knowledge of the motivational and functional aspects related to exercise, generally different between male and female (50,51). The lack of standardization between studies with respect to the rehabilitation protocol is an aspect that should be improved in future in this research area.

Conclusions

The EG rehabilitation of the older adult with stroke, PD or MS seems to offer multiple advantages. However, to date it is not possible to draw precise indications on the effectiveness and optimal treatment methods for the various pathologies, especially in relation to functional recovery.

Further efforts are needed to collect data on larger samples and analyze them according to an agreed research direction, also by better defining the significant parameters to be evaluated through more homogeneous and standardized outcome measures.

Acknowledgements

This research was partly supported by the Ricerca Corrente funding scheme of the Ministry of Health, Italy, and by FESR Programme of Regione Lombardia - Call Hub Ricerca & Innovazione, “RE-Hub-ILITY - Rehabilitative personalized Home System and Virtual coaching for chronic Treatment in elderly”.

References

- Morone G, Tramontano M, Iosa M, et al. The efficacy of balance training with video game-based therapy in subacute stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Biomed Res Int* 2014; 580861. doi: 10.1155/2014/580861
- Laver KE, Lange B, George S, et al. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 20:11. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008349.pub4
- Moreira MC, de Amorim Lima AM, Ferraz KM, et al. Use of virtual reality in gait recovery among post stroke patients - a systematic literature review. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol* 2013; 8:357-362. doi: 10.3109/17483107.2012.749428
- Barry G, Galna B, Rochester L. The role of exergaming in Parkinson's disease rehabilitation: a systematic review of the evidence. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2014; 11:33. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-11-33
- Pompeu JE, Mendes FA, Silva KG, et al. Effect of Nintendo Wii™-based motor and cognitive training on activities of daily living in patients with Parkinson's disease: a randomised clinical trial. *Physiotherapy* 2012; 98:196-204. doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2012.06.004
- Park DS, Lee DG, Lee K, et al. Effects of Virtual Reality Training using Xbox Kinect on Motor Function in Stroke Survivors: A Preliminary Study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2017; 26:2313-2319. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.05.019
- Givon N, Zeilig G, Weingarden H, et al. Video-games used in a group setting is feasible and effective to improve indicators of physical activity in individuals with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2016; 30:383-392. doi: 10.1177/0269215515584382
- Laver K, George S, Thomas S, et al. Cochrane review: virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2012; 48:523-30.
- Jonsdottir J, Bertoni R, Lawo M, et al. Serious games for arm rehabilitation of persons with multiple sclerosis. A randomized controlled pilot study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2018; 19:25-29. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2017.10.010
- Bower KJ, Clark RA, McGinley JL, et al. Clinical feasibility of the Nintendo Wii™ for balance training post-stroke: a phase II randomized controlled trial in an inpatient setting. *Clin Rehabil* 2014; 28:912-923. doi: 10.1177/0269215514527597
- Hung JW, Chou CX, Chang YJ, et al. Comparison of Kinect2Scratch game-based training and therapist-based training for the improvement of upper extremity functions of patients with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled single-blinded trial. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2019; 55:542-550. doi: 10.23736/S1973-9087.19.05598-9
- Cho KH, Lee KJ, Song CH. Virtual-reality balance training with a video-game system improves dynamic balance in chronic stroke patients. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2012; 228:69-74. doi: 10.1620/tjem.228.69
- Rajaratnam BS, Gui Kaian J, Lee Jialin K, et al. Does the Inclusion of Virtual Reality Games within Conventional Rehabilitation Enhance Balance Retraining after a Recent Episode of Stroke? *Rehabil Res Pract* 2013; 649561. doi: 10.1155/2013/649561
- Lee GC. Effects of training using video games on the muscle strength, muscle tone, and activities of daily living of chronic stroke patients. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2013; 25:595-597. doi: 10.1589/jpts.25.595
- Corbetta D, Imeri F, Gatti R. Rehabilitation that incorporates virtual reality is more effective than standard rehabilitation for improving walking speed, balance and mobility after stroke: a systematic review. *J Physiother* 2015; 61:117-124. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2015.05.017
- Thomson K, Pollock A, Bugge C, et al. Commercial gaming devices for stroke upper limb rehabilitation: a systematic review. *Int J Stroke* 2014; 9:479-488. doi: 10.1111/ijs.12263
- Mouawad MR, Doust CG, Max MD, et al. Wii-based movement therapy to promote improved upper extremity function post-stroke: a pilot study. *J Rehabil Med* 2011; 43:527-533. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0816
- McEwen D, Taillon-Hobson A, et al. Virtual reality exercise improves mobility after stroke: an inpatient randomized controlled trial. *Stroke* 2014; 45:1853-1855. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.005362
- Laver KE, George S, Thomas S, et al. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; 12:CD008349. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008349.pub3
- Sapostnik G, Levin M; Outcome Research Canada (SORCan) Working Group. Virtual reality in stroke rehabilitation: a meta-analysis and implications for clinicians. *Stroke* 2011; 42:1380-1386. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.605451
- Cheng PT, Wang CM, Chung CY, et al. Effects of visual feedback rhythmic weight-shift training on hemiplegic stroke patients. *Clin Rehabil* 2004; 18:747-753. doi: 10.1191/0269215504cr7780a

- 22) Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 1996; 17:1-12. doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(95)00134-4
- 23) Gandolfi M, Geroi C, Dimitrova E, et al. Virtual Reality Telerehabilitation for Postural Instability in Parkinson's Disease: A Multicenter, Single-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Biomed Res Int* 2017; 7962826. doi: 10.1155/2017/7962826
- 24) Lupo A, Cinnera AM, Pucello A, et al. Effects on balance skills and patient compliance of biofeedback training with inertial measurement units and exergaming in subacute stroke: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Funct Neurol* 2018; 33:131-136.
- 25) Rowe JB, Chan V, Ingemanson ML, et al. Robotic Assistance for Training Finger Movement Using a Hebbian Model: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2017; 31:769-780. doi: 10.1177/1545968317721975
- 26) Tollár J, Nagy F, Hortobágyi T. Vastly Different Exercise Programs Similarly Improve Parkinsonian Symptoms: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Gerontology* 2019; 65:120-127. doi: 10.1159/000493127
- 27) Mugler EM, Tomic G, Singh A, et al. Myoelectric Computer Interface Training for Reducing Co-Activation and Enhancing Arm Movement in Chronic Stroke Survivors: A Randomized Trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2019; 33:284-295. doi: 10.1177/1545968319834903
- 28) Norouzi-Gheidari N, Hernandez A, Archambault PS, et al. Feasibility, Safety and Efficacy of a Virtual Reality Exergame System to Supplement Upper Extremity Rehabilitation Post-Stroke: A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial and Proof of Principle. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; 17:113. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17010113
- 29) Kannan L, Vora J, Bhatt T, et al. Cognitive-motor exergaming for reducing fall risk in people with chronic stroke: A randomized controlled trial. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2019; 44:493-510. doi: 10.3233/NRE-182683
- 30) Karasu AU, Batur EB, Karataş GK. Effectiveness of Wii-based rehabilitation in stroke: A randomized controlled study. *J Rehabil Med* 2018; 50:406-412. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2331
- 31) Ribas CG, Alves da Silva L, Corrêa MR, et al. Effectiveness of exergaming in improving functional balance, fatigue and quality of life in Parkinson's disease: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2017; 38:13-18. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2017.02.006
- 32) Şimşek TT, Çekok K. The effects of Nintendo Wii(TM)-based balance and upper extremity training on activities of daily living and quality of life in patients with sub-acute stroke: a randomized controlled study. *Int J Neurosci* 2016; 126:1061-1070. doi: 10.3109/00207454.2015.1115993
- 33) Lee MM, Lee KJ, Song CH. Game-Based Virtual Reality Canoe Paddling Training to Improve Postural Balance and Upper Extremity Function: A Preliminary Randomized Controlled Study of 30 Patients with Subacute Stroke. *Med Sci Monit* 2018; 24:2590-2598. doi: 10.12659/MSM.906451
- 34) Ferraz DD, Trippo KV, Duarte GP, et al. The Effects of Functional Training, Bicycle Exercise, and Exergaming on Walking Capacity of Elderly Patients With Parkinson Disease: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Single-blinded Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2018; 99:826-833. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.12.014
- 35) Shih MC, Wang RY, Cheng SJ, et al. Effects of a balance-based exergaming intervention using the Kinect sensor on posture stability in individuals with Parkinson's disease: a single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2016; 13:78. doi: 10.1186/s12984-016-0185-y
- 36) Thielbar KO, Triandafilou KM, Barry AJ, et al. Home-based Upper Extremity Stroke Therapy Using a Multiuser Virtual Reality Environment: A Randomized Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2020; 101:196-203. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2019.10.182
- 37) Lee HC, Huang CL, Ho SH, et al. The Effect of a Virtual Reality Game Intervention on Balance for Patients with Stroke: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Games Health J* 2017; 6:303-311. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2016.0109
- 38) Song J, Paul SS, Caetano MJD, et al. Home-based step training using videogame technology in people with Parkinson's disease: a single-blinded randomised controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2018; 32:299-311. doi: 10.1177/0269215517721593
- 39) Nijenhuis SM, Prange-Lasonder GB, et al. Effects of training with a passive hand orthosis and games at home in chronic stroke: a pilot randomised controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2017; 31:207-216. doi: 10.1177/0269215516629722
- 40) Allen NE, Song J, Paul SS, et al. An interactive videogame for arm and hand exercise in people with Parkinson's disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2017; 41:66-72. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2017.05.011
- 41) Henrique PPB, Colussi EL, De Marchi ACB. Effects of Exergame on Patients' Balance and Upper Limb Motor Function after Stroke: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2019; 28:2351-2357. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2019.05.031
- 42) Cikajlo I, Peterlin Potisk K. Advantages of using 3D virtual reality based training in persons with Parkinson's disease: a parallel study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2019; 16:119. doi: 10.1186/s12984-019-0601-1
- 43) Santilli V. Linee guida ed evidenze scientifiche in Medicina Fisica e Riabilitativa. Roma: Centro Stampa eds. Università degli Studi La Sapienza; 2018.
- 44) Nieuwboer A, Rochester L, Müncks L, et al. Motor learning in Parkinson's disease: limitations and potential for rehabilitation. *Parkinsonism & related disorders* 2009; 15:S53-S58.
- 45) Moore JL, Potter K, Blankshain K, et al. A Core Set of Outcome Measures for Adults With Neurologic Conditions Undergoing Rehabilitation: A clinical practice guideline. *J Neurol Phys Ther* 2018; 42:174-220.
- 46) Bushnell C, Bettger JP, Cockcroft KM, et al. Chronic Stroke Outcome Measures for Motor Function Intervention Trials: Expert Panel Recommendations. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2015; 8:S163-9.
- 47) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Stroke and transient ischaemic attack in over 16s: diagnosis and initial management. NG128; 2019.
- 48) Management of Stroke Rehabilitation Working Group. VA/DOD clinical practice guideline for the management of stroke rehabilitation. *JRRD* 2010; 47(9):1-43.
- 49) Monardo G, Pavese C, Giorgi I, et al. Evaluation of Patient Motivation and Satisfaction During Technology-Assisted Rehabilitation: An Experiential Review. *Games Health J* 2021; 10:13-27. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2020.0024. Epub 2020 Jul 2. PMID: 32614618.
- 50) Sandlund M, Pohl P, Ahlgren C, et al. Gender perspective on older people's exercise preferences and motivators in the context of falls prevention: A qualitative study. *BioMed research international* 2018.
- 51) van Uffelen JG, Khan A, Burton NW. Gender differences in physical activity motivators and context preferences: a population-based study in people in their sixties. *BMC Public Health* 2017; 17(1): 1-11.

Correspondence: Edda Maria Capodaglio, Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri IRCCS, Occupational therapy and ergonomics unit of Pavia Institute, Italy, mobile +39 347 5324599, edda.capodaglio@icsmaugeri.it